



ROMANIAN MATHEMATICAL MAGAZINE

www.ssmrmh.ro

ABOUT LEHMUS-PADOA'S INEQUALITY

By Dorin Mărghidanu-Romania

Edited by Florică Anastase-Romania

*In this note are presented and proved some generalizations and refinements for a known inequality in the geometry of triangle (known until recently as **Padoa's inequality**, but which according to a very interesting and learned article from [3] – it was formulated long before, by **Lehmus** [6]) .Several applications of **Lehmus-Padoa inequality** refinement are also presented .*

Keywords and phrases : *Lehmus-Padoa inequality , Mitrinović–Adamović inequality , refinement, means inequality*

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification : 26D15

In triangle's geometry , the following very beautiful inequality is known (v. [1] ,[3]-[6] , [8]-[13]) , which impresses especially by its simplicity, circularity, homogeneity and symmetry :

1.Theorem_ (*Lehmus – Padoa's inequality*)

In any triangle ABC , of sides a, b, c occurs the inequality ,

$$(-a + b + c)(a - b + c)(a + b - c) \leq abc; (1)$$

equality taking place in the case of the equilateral triangle.

Brief historical note: In the literature , this inequality is commonly known as *Padoa's inequality*, it being stated and demonstrated in the work [13] of 1925. But in 1985, the well-known geometer *H.S.M. Coxeter* in [3], consulting the very rare book [6] from 1820 of *D.C.L. Lehmus*, finds the inequality explicitly presented and demonstrated on pages 27-28. For this reason he calls the inequality (1) the *inequality of Lehmus*.

In what follows, by aggregating the two attributions, and as a necessary historical rehabilitation, we will call it the inequality of *Lehmus - Padoa*.The notoriety of relation (1) also lies in the fact that this inequality is equivalent to many inequalities - algebraic and geometric - no less famous. Thus, the inequality (1) is equivalent to *Euler's* famous triangle inequality, $R \geq 2r$; (2)

as demonstrated by *Richard Balzer*, as early as 1870, a demonstration resumed in [3]. See also [1] and [12].

Also, in [12] and [1], is demonstrated the equivalence with the well-known elementary *inequality of Cesaro*, from 1880, $(x + y)(y + z)(z + x) \geq 8xyz, (x, y, z \geq 0)$; (3)

R M M

ROMANIAN MATHEMATICAL MAGAZINE

www.ssmrmh.ro

Through developments and arrangements in (1), are obtained - equivalent, the following

Schur-type inequalities :

$$a^3 + b^3 + c^3 + 3abc \geq ab(a + b) + bc(b + c) + ca(c + a); \text{ (AUO 1975); (4)}$$

$$a(a - b)(a - c) + b(b - c)(b - a) + c(c - a)(c - b) \geq 0; \text{ (5)}$$

$$a^2(b + c - a) + b^2(c + a - b) + c^2(a + b - c) \leq 3abc; \text{ (IMO 1964)}$$

(see Engel – Problem solving , p.167)

As we can see, the inequality of *Lehmus - Padoa* - although elementary - through its special aesthetics it also attracted the attention of some redoubtable mathematicians .

This inequality has known several demonstrations (starting even with those of its protagonists ...), extensions, generalizations as well as various geometric interpretations; see [3], [5], [12].

Below, we present a simple, very short proof - and it seems, genuine. More importantly - the method used also suggests concrete ways of generalization and refinement of the *Lehmus-Padoa* inequality , as will be seen further .

A Proof of Lehmus–Padoa Inequality

The expressions in parentheses on the left side of the *Lehmus-Padoa's* inequality are positive, suggesting the use of *GM –AM inequality*. Indeed, with the next arrangement, we have ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (-a + b + c)(a - b + c)(a + b - c) = \\ & = \sqrt{(-a + b + c)(a - b + c)} \cdot \sqrt{(a - b + c)(a + b - c)} \cdot \sqrt{(-a + b + c)(a + b - c)} \leq \\ & \leq \frac{(-a + b + c) + (a - b + c)}{2} \cdot \frac{(a - b + c)(a + b - c)}{2} \cdot \frac{(-a + b + c)(a + b - c)}{c} = cab \end{aligned}$$

Equality occurs if and only if $(-a + b + c) = (a - b + c) = (a + b - c) \Leftrightarrow a = b = c$.

The above demonstration immediately suggests the following generalization ,

2.Proposition_ (a weighted generalization of Lehmus – Padoa's inequality)

If $a, b, c > 0$ and $m, n, p \in \mathbb{R}$, distinct such that $\alpha a + \beta b + \gamma c \geq 0$, and where

$\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\} = \{m, n, p\}$, prove that ,

$$\begin{aligned} & 8(ma + nb + pc)(na + pb + mc)(pa + mb + nc) \leq \\ & \leq [(m + n)a + (n + p)b + (p + m)c][(n + p)a + (p + m)b + (m + n)c][(p + m)a + (m + n)b + (n + p)c] \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

The relations in the condition guarantee the non-negativity of the inequality factors, so again the

R M M

ROMANIAN MATHEMATICAL MAGAZINE

www.ssmrmh.ro

possibility of using the *AM-GM inequality*.

With the same demonstration idea above, we have successively :

$$\begin{aligned} & (ma + nb + pc)(na + pb + mc)(pa + mb + nc) = \\ & = \sqrt{(ma + nb + pc)(na + pb + mc)} \cdot \sqrt{(na + pb + mc)(pa + mb + nc)} \\ & \quad \cdot \sqrt{(pa + mb + nc)(ma + nb + pc)} \leq \\ & \leq \frac{(ma + nb + pc) + (na + pb + mc)}{2} + \frac{(na + pb + mc) + (pa + mb + nc)}{2} + \frac{(pa + mb + nc) + (ma + nb + pc)}{2} \\ & = \frac{1}{8} [(m+n)a + (n+p)b + (p+m)c][(n+p)a + (p+m)b + (m+n)c][(p+m)a + (m+n)b + (n+p)c] \end{aligned}$$

By customizing in (6), with the values : $m = n = 1$ and $p = -1$ (or variants) , the *Lehmus –Padoa’s* inequality is obtained. Returning to the initial inequality, with the notations

$$G(u, v) = \sqrt{uv}, A(u, v) = \frac{u+v}{2}, \text{ for binary means:}$$

geometric and arithmetic, the proof of the inequality *Lehmus - Padoa* - is transcribed in the language of means - in the form :

$$\begin{aligned} & (-a + b + c)(a - b + c)(a + b - c) = \\ & = G(-a + b + c, a - b + c) \cdot G(a - b + c, a + b - c) \cdot G(-a + b + c, a + b - c) \leq \\ & \leq A(-a + b + c, a - b + c) \cdot A(a - b + c, a + b - c) \cdot A(-a + b + c, a + b - c) = abc; (7) \end{aligned}$$

This specific arrangement also suggests the next more general property of refining inequality (1) , a procedure that can be used practically in refining any inequality that uses - in the same manner – *inequality of means* in its proof .

3. Theorem (A refinement of *Lehmus–Padoa Inequality*)

If M is a binary mean that refines the inequality between the geometric mean and the arithmetic mean (i.e. $G(u, v) \leq M(u, v) \leq A(u, v)$, $\forall u, v > 0$ then we get refinement for inequality (1) ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (-a + b + c)(a - b + c)(a + b - c) \leq \\ & \leq M(-a + b + c, a - b + c) \cdot M(a - b + c, a + b - c) \cdot M(-a + b + c, a + b - c) \leq abc; (8) \end{aligned}$$

for any a, b, c , the sides of a triangle.

Proof The demonstration results immediately by inserting in (7) the mean M - which refines the inequality $GM-AM$. For a more general case it can be tracked to the end of these notes.

By customizing the mean M , numerous (theoretically, infinitely many) refinements of *Lehmus-Padoa*

inequality can be obtained.

4. Application

If we take $M(u, v) = \frac{A(u,v)+G(u,v)}{2}$, for which we obviously have $G(u, v) \leq M(u, v) \leq A(u, v)$,

How,

$$\begin{aligned} M(-a+b+c, a-b+c) &= \frac{\frac{(-a+b+c) + (a-b+c)}{2} + \sqrt{(-a+b+c)(a-b+c)}}{2} \\ &= \frac{(-a+b+c)(a-b+c)(a+b-c) \leq}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{c + \sqrt{(-a+b+c)(a-b+c)}}{2} \cdot \frac{a + \sqrt{(a-b+c)(a+b-c)}}{2} \cdot \frac{b + \sqrt{(-a+b+c)(a+b-c)}}{2} \\ &\leq abc; (9) \end{aligned}$$

5. Application

If we take $M(u, v) = \sqrt{G(u, v) \cdot A(u, v)}$, for which we obviously have $G(u, v) \leq M(u, v) \leq A(u, v)$

$$\text{How, } M(-a+b+c, a-b+c) = \sqrt{\sqrt{(-a+b+c)(a-b+c)} \cdot \frac{(-a+b+c)(a-b+c)}{2}} =$$

$$= \sqrt[4]{(-a+b+c)(a-b+c)c^2} \text{ and analogues, with (8) result refinement,}$$

$$(-a+b+c)(a-b+c)(a+b-c) \leq \sqrt{abc(-a+b+c)(a-b+c)(a+b-c)}; (10)$$

(Moreover, this inequality results directly from the *Lehmus – Padoa’s* inequality, through its application for each of the two component inequalities). If we also take into account the inequality

$$\sqrt{G(u, v) \cdot A(u, v)} \leq \frac{G(u,v)+A(u,v)}{2}, \text{ from (7) and (8) we obtain double refinement,}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (-a+b+c)(a-b+c)(a+b-c) &\leq \sqrt{abc(-a+b+c)(a-b+c)(a+b-c)} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{c + \sqrt{(-a+b+c)(a-b+c)}}{2} \cdot \frac{a + \sqrt{(a-b+c)(a+b-c)}}{2} \cdot \frac{b + \sqrt{(-a+b+c)(a+b-c)}}{2} \leq \\ &\leq abc; (11) \end{aligned}$$

6. Remark In the same way we can use in *Theorem 3*, instead of the mean M , the following trigonometric mean,

which refines - even continuously - the inequality of means, see [7],

$$T(u, v) = \sqrt{(u \sin^2 x + v \cos^2 x)(u \sin^2 x + v \cos^2 x)}, x \in \left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]; (12)$$

$$\text{or its algebraic variant, } U(u, v) = \sqrt{(pu + qv)(qu + pv)}, p, q > 0, p + q = 1; (13)$$

7. Application

Two transcendent refinements of the classical inequality of two-variable means are also well known in the literature, $G(u, v) \leq L(u, v) \leq I(u, v) \leq A(u, v)$, $u, v > 0$, where : $L(u, v) = \frac{u-v}{\log u - \log v}$ is the **logarithmic mean** - introduced by *Polya and Szegő* in 1951, [14] and imposed by *B.C. Carlson* in

[2], respectively , $I(u, v) = \frac{1}{e} \cdot \left(\frac{u^u}{v^v}\right)^{\frac{1}{u-v}}$ which is the **identric mean** , introduced and studied by *K. B. Stolarsky* in [15] . Based on the above inequality chain and in the spirit of *Theorem 3*, we have

the multiple refinement of the *Lehmus - Padoa inequality* :

$$\begin{aligned} & (-a + b + c)(a - b + c)(a + b - c) = \\ & G(-a + b + c, a - b + c) \cdot G(a - b + c, a + b - c) \cdot G(-a + b + c, a + b - c) \leq \\ & \leq L(-a + b + c, a - b + c) \cdot L(a - b + c, a + b - c) \cdot L(-a + b + c, a + b - c) \leq \\ & \leq I(-a + b + c, a - b + c) \cdot I(a - b + c, a + b - c) \cdot I(-a + b + c, a + b - c) \leq \\ & \leq A(-a + b + c, a - b + c) \cdot A(a - b + c, a + b - c) \cdot A(-a + b + c, a + b - c) = abc; \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

A generalization of the *Lehmus – Padoa* inequality for n – variables was presented in the statement of a problem proposed by *Mitrinović* and solved and generalized by *Adamović*. See : [5], [9], [10] .

8. Theorem (the inequality of Mitrinović – Adamović)

If $a_k > 0, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $S_n = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n$, then occurs the inequality ,

$$[S_n + (n - 1)a_1] \cdot [S - (n - 1)a_2] \cdot \dots \cdot [S - (n - 1)a_n] \leq a_1 a_2 \dots a_n; \quad (15)$$

where all parentheses in the left side are positive. The **Proof** can be extracted from that of the refined inequality of inequality (16) - which follows. A refinement similar to that of *Theorem 3* is given by the following ,

9. Theorem (refinement of Mitrinović – Adamović's inequality)

If M_k is an means that refines the inequality between the geometric mean and the arithmetic mean of the numbers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k , (meaning $G_k(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \leq M_k(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \leq A_k(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)$ $\forall a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k > 0$ then the next refinements of inequality (15) takes place ,

$$\begin{aligned} & [S_n + (n - 1)a_1] \cdot [S - (n - 1)a_2] \cdot \dots \cdot [S - (n - 1)a_n] \leq \\ & \leq M_{n-1}(S - (n - 1)a_1, S - (n - 1)a_2, \dots, S - (n - 1)a_{n-1}) \cdot \end{aligned}$$

R M M

ROMANIAN MATHEMATICAL MAGAZINE

www.ssmrmh.ro

$$\cdot M_{n-1}(S - (n-1)a_2, S - (n-1)a_3, \dots, S - (n-1)a_n) \cdot \dots \cdot M_{n-1}(S - (n-1)a_n, S - (n-1)a_1, \dots, S - (n-1)a_{n-2}) \leq a_1 a_2 \dots a_n; \quad (16)$$

The **Proof** is similar to that of *Theorem 1* and consists in applying the inequality between the geometric mean and the arithmetic mean for $n - 1$ variables ,

$$\begin{aligned} & [S_n + (n-1)a_1] \cdot [S - (n-1)a_2] \cdot \dots \cdot [S - (n-1)a_n] = \\ & = \sqrt[n]{[S_n + (n-1)a_1] \cdot [S - (n-1)a_2] \cdot \dots \cdot [S - (n-1)a_n]} \cdot \\ & \cdot \sqrt[n]{[S_n + (n-1)a_2] \cdot [S - (n-1)a_3] \cdot \dots \cdot [S - (n-1)a_{n-1}]} \cdot \dots \cdot \\ & \cdot \sqrt[n]{[S_n + (n-1)a_n] \cdot [S - (n-1)a_1] \cdot \dots \cdot [S - (n-1)a_{n-2}]} = \\ & = G_{n-1}([S_n + (n-1)a_1] \cdot [S - (n-1)a_2] \cdot \dots \cdot [S - (n-1)a_n]) \cdot \\ & \cdot G_{n-1}([S_n + (n-1)a_2] \cdot [S - (n-1)a_3] \cdot \dots \cdot [S - (n-1)a_{n-1}]) \cdot \dots \cdot \\ & \cdot G_{n-1}([S_n + (n-1)a_n] \cdot [S - (n-1)a_1] \cdot \dots \cdot [S - (n-1)a_{n-2}]) \leq \\ & \leq M_{n-1}([S_n + (n-1)a_1] \cdot [S - (n-1)a_2] \cdot \dots \cdot [S - (n-1)a_n]) \cdot \\ & \cdot M_{n-1}([S_n + (n-1)a_2] \cdot [S - (n-1)a_3] \cdot \dots \cdot [S - (n-1)a_{n-1}]) \cdot \dots \cdot \\ & \cdot M_{n-1}([S_n + (n-1)a_n] \cdot [S - (n-1)a_1] \cdot \dots \cdot [S - (n-1)a_{n-2}]) \leq \\ & \leq A_{n-1}([S_n + (n-1)a_1] \cdot [S - (n-1)a_2] \cdot \dots \cdot [S - (n-1)a_n]) \cdot \\ & \cdot A_{n-1}([S_n + (n-1)a_2] \cdot [S - (n-1)a_3] \cdot \dots \cdot [S - (n-1)a_{n-1}]) \cdot \dots \cdot \\ & \cdot A_{n-1}([S_n + (n-1)a_n] \cdot [S - (n-1)a_1] \cdot \dots \cdot [S - (n-1)a_{n-2}]) = \\ & = \frac{[S_n + (n-1)a_1] + [S - (n-1)a_2] + \dots + [S - (n-1)a_n]}{n-1} + \\ & + \frac{[S_n + (n-1)a_2] + [S - (n-1)a_3] + \dots + [S - (n-1)a_{n-1}]}{n-1} + \dots \\ & + \frac{[S_n + (n-1)a_n] + [S - (n-1)a_3] + \dots + [S - (n-1)a_{n-2}]}{n-1} = \\ & = \frac{(n-1)S - (n-1)(S - a_n)}{n-1} \cdot \frac{(n-1)S - (n-1)(S - a_1)}{n-1} \cdot \dots \cdot \frac{(n-1)S - (n-1)(S - a_{n-1})}{n-1} = \\ & = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \end{aligned}$$

Equality occurs if and only if $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_k$. By customizing M_k , with different specific means , similar to those in *Applications 4 -7*, numerous refinements of for inequality (15) can be obtained .

We can also formulate a generalization of *Mitrinović – Adamović inequality*, similar to that in *Theorem 2*, with the same type of proof as in *Theorem 8*, but which - more for typographical reasons - we will leave to the readers.

R M M

ROMANIAN MATHEMATICAL MAGAZINE

www.ssmrmh.ro

References:

- [1] Bottema O., Djordjević R.Z., Janić R.R., Mitrinović D. S. , Vasić P.M., "*Geometric inequalities*", Wolters-Noordhoff , Groningen , 1969 .
- [2] Carlson B. C. , " *The logarithmic mean* " , Amer. Math. Monthly , 79 / 1972 , (pp.615-618) .
- [3] Coxeter B. C. , " *The Lehmus inequality* " , Aequationes Mathematicae, 28 / 1985 , (pp.4-12) .
- [4] Klamkin M.S. " *Asymmetric triangle inequalities* " , Univ. Beograd. Publ. Electroteh. Fac. Ser. Mat. Fiz., No. 357-380 (1971) , pp. 33-44 .
- [5] Klamkin M.S., "*Extensions of Some Geometric Inequalities*", Mathematics Magazine, Vol.49, No.1 (Jan., 1976) , pp.28-30 .
- [6] Lehmus D.C.L., "*Sammlung von Beispielen, Aufgaben und Lehrsätzen aus der Arithmetik, Algebra, Geometrie und ebenen Trigonometrie*" , Reimer , Berlin , 1820 .
- [7] Mărghidanu Dorin , " *Two Trigonometrical Means that Produce Continuous Refinement of the Inequality of the Classic Means* " , in « OCTOGON Mathematical Magazine » , Vol.12 , No. 2.A., pp.664-667 , October , 2004 .
- [8] Mitrinovic D.S. , " *Elementary Inequalities* " , P. Noorthoff LTD – Groningen , 1964 , probl. 6.10 , pag.114 .
- [9] Mitrinović D. S. (in cooperation with Vasić P. M.) , " *Analytic Inequalities* " , Springer–Verlag , Band 165 , Berlin , 1970 .
- [10] Mitrinović D. S. , Pecarić J.E. , Fink A.M., " *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis* " , Kluwer Acad. Press. , 1993 .
- [11] Nelsen R. B. , " *Proof Without Words : Padoa's Inequality (Alessandro Padoa ,1867-1937)* " , Mathematics Magazine , vol. Vol.79 , no.1 , p.53 , February , 2006 .
- [12] Nelsen R. B. , " *Euler's Triangle Inequality via Proofs Without Words* " , Mathematics Magazine , vol. 81, No. 1, pp. 58-61 , February , 2008 .
- [13] Padoa Alessandro , " *Una questione di minimo* " , Period. Mat. (4) , 5 (1925) , pp. 80-85 .
- [14] Polya G. & Szegő G. , " *Isoperimetric inequalities in mathematical physics* " , Princeton University Press , 1951 .
- [15] Stolarsky K. B., " *Generalisations of the logarithmic mean* " , Mathematics Magazine, Vol. 48 , 1975 , (pp.87-92) .