

# **THEOREMS OF CONCAVITY AND CONVEXITY OF COMPOSITE FUNCTIONS-APPLICATION TO CLASSICAL INEQUALITIES.**

30.06.2017

Uche Eliezer Okeke -Anambra-Nigeria

*E-ID: eliezer4life@yahoo.com*

## **Abstract**

The paper presents the theorems of concavity and convexity of composite functions. It goes further to present an inequality lemma. It ends with demonstrative examples.

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

General form of a composite function of 'x'

$$f(x) = f_1 \circ f_2 \circ f_3 \circ \dots \circ f_i \circ \dots \circ f_n(x)$$

Equivalently, we can write

$$f(x) = f_1(f_2(f_3(\dots(f_i(\dots f_n(x) \dots)) \dots)))$$

where  $f_i$  is the "ith-sub function"

and  $f_n$  is the "nth-sub function"

## DEFINITIONS

1. Concavity of a function : If a function  $f(x)$  is continuous in an interval  $I$  and  $f''(x) < 0 \forall x \text{ in } I$  then  $f(x)$  is concave in  $I$ .
2. Convexity of a function : If a function  $f(x)$  is continuous in an interval  $I$  and  $f''(x) > 0 \forall x \text{ in } I$  then  $f(x)$  is convex in  $I$ .

## 2.0 THEOREMS.

Two theorems will be stated and proven.

Theorem 1: Theorem of Concavity of composite functions

If a composite function  $f(x) = f_1 \circ f_2 \circ f_3 \circ \dots \circ f_i \circ \dots \circ f_n(x)$  is continuous in an interval  $I \in (a, b)$  where  $b > a$  such that

- $f_i > 0 \forall x \text{ in } I \text{ and } i \in [2, n]$ ,
- $f_i$  is increasing  $\forall x \text{ in } I \text{ and } i \in [1, n - 1]$ ,
- $f_i$  is concave  $\forall x \text{ in } I \text{ and } i \in [1, n]$

Then  $f(x) = f_1 \circ f_2 \circ f_3 \circ \dots \circ f_i \circ \dots \circ f_n(x)$  is **concave** in  $I$ .

Theorem 2: Theorem of Convexity of composite functions

If a composite function  $f(x) = f_1 \circ f_2 \circ f_3 \circ \dots \circ f_i \circ \dots \circ f_n(x)$  is continuous in an interval  $I \in (a, b)$  where  $b > a$  such that

- $f_i > 0 \forall x \text{ in } I \text{ and } i \in [2, n]$ ,
- $f_i$  is increasing  $\forall x \text{ in } I \text{ and } i \in [1, n - 1]$ ,
- $f_i$  is convex  $\forall x \text{ in } I \text{ and } i \in [1, n]$

then  $f(x) = f_1 \circ f_2 \circ f_3 \circ \dots \circ f_i \circ \dots \circ f_n(x)$  is **convex** in  $I$ .

## 2.1 PROOF OF THEOREMS

The necessary and sufficient conditions will be shown in the proof of theorems.

### Proof of Theorem1: Theorem of Concavity of a composite function

Consider  $f(x) = f_1 \circ f_2 \circ f_3 \circ \dots \circ f_i \circ \dots \circ f_n(x)$  (1)

Requirements:

$$f_i(x) > 0 \forall i \in [2, n], \forall x \in I \quad (1a)$$

$$f'_i(x) > 0 \forall i \in [1, n-1], \forall x \in I \quad (1b)$$

$$f''_i(x) < 0 \forall i \in [1, n], \forall x \in I \quad (1c)$$

Aim: To prove  $f''(x) < 0 \forall x \in I$

Procedure:

$$f(x) = f_1 \circ f_2 \circ f_3 \circ \dots \circ f_i \circ \dots \circ f_n(x)$$

$$f'(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n f'_i(x) \quad (1d)$$

$$f''(x) = f''_1 \times \prod_{i=2}^n (f'_i)^2 + f'_1 f''_2 \times \prod_{i=3}^n (f'_i)^2 + \dots + f'_n \times (\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} f'_i) \quad (1e)$$

By (1a)-(1c),  $f''(x) < 0$  (proof complete)

### Proof of Theorem2: Theorem of Convexity of a composite function

Consider  $f(x) = f_1 \circ f_2 \circ f_3 \circ \dots \circ f_i \circ \dots \circ f_n(x)$  (2)

Requirements:

$$f_i(x) > 0 \forall i \in [2, n], \forall x \in I \quad (2a)$$

$$f'_i(x) > 0 \forall i \in [1, n-1], \forall x \in I \quad (2b)$$

$$f''_i(x) > 0 \forall i \in [1, n], \forall x \in I \quad (2c)$$

Aim: To prove  $f''(x) > 0 \forall x \in I$

Procedure:

$$f(x) = f_1 \circ f_2 \circ f_3 \circ \dots \circ f_i \circ \dots \circ f_n(x)$$

$$f'(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n f'_i(x) \quad (2d)$$

$$f''(x) = f''_1 \times \prod_{i=2}^n (f'_i)^2 + f'_1 f''_2 \times \prod_{i=3}^n (f'_i)^2 + \dots + f'_n \times (\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} f'_i) \quad (2e)$$

By (2a)-(2c),  $f''(x) > 0$  (proof complete)

### **3.0 Application**

We shall present an application of the concept of composite functions to classical inequalities.

#### **3.1 Case1: Inequality theorem for Concave composite function**

Consider the real valued composite function

$$f(x) = f_1 \circ f_2 \circ f_3 \circ \dots \circ f_i \circ \dots \circ f_n(x)$$

If the concavity of  $f(x)$  is ascertained in  $I$  (i.e.  $f''(x_i) < 0 \forall x_i \in I$ ) then the following holds

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i (f_1 \circ f_2 \circ f_3 \circ \dots \circ f_i \circ \dots \circ f_n(x_i))}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i} \leq f_1 \circ f_2 \circ f_3 \circ \dots \circ f_i \circ \dots \circ f_n \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i} \right) \quad (3a)$$

It is easy to see that (3a) is similar to the famous Jensen inequality for weighted concave functions.

### 3.2 Case2: Inequality theorem for Convex composite function

Consider the composite function

$$f(x) = f_1 \circ f_2 \circ f_3 \circ \dots \circ f_i \circ \dots \circ f_n(x)$$

If the convexity of  $f(x)$  is ascertained in  $I$  (i.e.  $f''(x_i) > 0 \forall x_i \in I$ ) then the following holds

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i (f_1 \circ f_2 \circ f_3 \circ \dots \circ f_i \circ \dots \circ f_n(x_i))}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i} \geq f_1 \circ f_2 \circ f_3 \circ \dots \circ f_i \circ \dots \circ f_n\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i}\right) \quad (3b)$$

It is easy to see that (3b) is similar to the famous Jensen inequality for weighted convex functions.

### 4.0 Demonstrations

We shall now use simple inequality problems to demonstrate the use of these inequality theorems.

#### Problem 1.

Given that  $a$  and  $b$  are positive real numbers such that  $a + b = 1$ .

Find the minimum value of the expression:  $(a + 1/a)^2 + (b + 1/b)^2$

Solution.

$$(a + 1/a)^2 + (b + 1/b)^2 = \sum_{cyl} (a + 1/a)^2$$

Consider the composite function  $f(x) = f_1 \circ f_2(x)$  where  $f_2(x) = x + 1/x$  and  $f_1(x) = x^2$ . We can easily establish the convexity of  $f(x) = f_1 \circ f_2(x)$  following theorem 2.

For  $f_1(x) = x^2$ , we have

$$f_1(x) = x^2 > 0 \forall x > 0 \text{ in reals.}$$

$$f_1'(x) = 2x > 0 \forall x > 0 \text{ in reals.}$$

$$f_1''(x) = 2 > 0 \forall x > 0 \text{ in reals.}$$

For  $f_2(x) = x + 1/x$ , we have

$$f_2(x) = x + \frac{1}{x} > 0 \forall x \in (0, \infty)$$

$$f_2'(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{x^2} < 0 \forall x \in (0, 1) \text{ and } f_2'(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{x^2} > 0 \forall x \in (1, \infty)$$

$$f_2''(x) = \frac{2}{x^3} > 0 \forall x \in (0, \infty)$$

Clearly all sub-functions are convex and all sub-functions except the “n-th” sub-function are increasing monotone.

Clearly  $f(x) = f_1 \circ f_2(x)$  is convex  $x \in (0, \infty)$  by theorem 2. We proceed to apply Inequality Theorem for Convex Composite function (Case 1)

$$\sum_{cyl} \left(a + \frac{1}{a}\right)^2 \geq 2 \left(\sum_{cyl} a + \frac{1}{\sum_{cyl} a}\right)^2 = 2 \left(\frac{a+b}{2} + \frac{1}{\frac{a+b}{2}}\right)^2 = 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2 = \frac{25}{2}$$

$$\text{Min}\{(a + 1/a)^2 + (b + 1/b)^2\} = \frac{25}{2}$$

Equality at  $a = b = 1/2$

## **Problem 2.**

In any triangle ABC, prove the generalization (author's generalization of Daniel Sitaru's inequality published in RMM-2017)

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (\sum_{cyl} \cos \left(\frac{A}{2}\right))^{\frac{1}{2^i}} \geq 3^n \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n 2^{n-1}}{2^{n+1}}}$$

## **Solution**

We identify  $f(x) = f_1 \circ f_2(x)$ , where  $f_1(x) = x^{\frac{1}{2^i}}$  and  $f_2(x) = \cos \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$

We can easily verify the concavity of  $f_1(x)$  and  $f_2(x)$ . Also we see that all sub-functions except the "n-th sub-functions" are increasing, hence  $f(x) = f_1 \circ f_2(x)$  is concave (by theorem 1)

We proceed to apply Inequality Theorem for Concave Composite function (Case 2)

$$\left(\sum_{cyl} \cos \left(\frac{A}{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2^i}} \geq 3 \cos \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sum_{cyl} A}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^i}} = 3 \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^i}} = 3 \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^{i+1}}}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{cyl} \cos \left(\frac{A}{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2^i}} \geq \prod_{i=1}^n 3 \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^{i+1}}} = 3^n \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2^{i+1}}\right)} = 3^n \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n 2^{i-1}}{2^{n+1}}} \text{ (proof complete)}$$

Equality holds for equilateral triangle.